UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,453	09/26/2006	Kouichi Kitahata	1422-0722PUS1	5708
2292 7590 12/22/2010 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER	
			ZIMMER, ANTHONY J	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1736	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/588,453	KITAHATA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANTHONY J. ZIMMER	1736	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time to the state of the	N. imely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 € 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the con	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-11,13 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be shown in the correct should be shown in the should be sho	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	n □ · . o	(DTO 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	

Application/Control Number:

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over JP'086 (JP2001-179086). All references are to the provided machine translation.

In regard to claims 8 and 9, JP'086 teaches using silica in a recording sheet (which is at least a composition containing a synthetic resin (at least because it contains PVA [0017], [0030], [0038], [0045], a moisture controlled material as it is subjected to a drying process [0033]). The silica has the following properties:

Pore size: 10-35 nm (Example 1 = 15 nm)

Particle size: 0.02-10 microns (Example 1 = 6.7 microns)

Application/Control Number:

Surface area: $400-1400 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ (Example 1 = 530 m²/g)

Pore volume: 1-4 cc/g (Example 1 = 1.13 cc/g)

d peak value of 14.7 nm in Example 1 (no other peak is mentioned)

Though the hexagonal pore structure is not mentioned (and other properties required by claims 10-11), the known properties of the silica in JP'086 are the same as that claimed and thus other properties must also be the same. Further, the method of making the product of JP'086 is the same as that described in the instant application as producing the desired product. In particular, JP'086 teaches a template process as is described in the instant application. See and compare [0009]-[0013] and the Examples of JP'086 with [0084]-[0098] of the instant specification. See also MPEP 2112.01.

In regard to claim 13, JP'086 teaches a content of 10% or more (10~100%). See [0017].

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP'086.

In regard to claim 14, JP'086 teaches 10% or more. See [0017].

Overlapping ranges are *prima facie* obviousness. See MPEP 2144.05.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but as explained in the office action of 7/20/2010, would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/15/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the reference (JP'086) does not teach the claimed materials. However, as explained in the rejection above and pointed out in the rejection of 7/20/2010, JP'086 teaches at least a composition containing a synthetic resin. Further, as pointed out in the rejection above, JP'086 also describes a moisture-controlled material.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANTHONY J. ZIMMER whose telephone number is (571)270-3591. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM EST.

Application/Control Number:

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ajz

/Anthony J Zimmer/ Examiner, Art Unit 1736

/Stanley Silverman/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1736